New precession expressions, valid for long time intervals*

J. Vondrák¹, N. Capitaine², and P. Wallace³

- ¹ Astronomical Institute, Acad. Sci. Czech Rep., Boční II, 141 31 Prague 4, Czech Republic e-mail: vondrak@ig.cas.cz
- ² SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, UPMC, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France e-mail: n.capitaine@obspm.fr
- ³ STFC/Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Oxford, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK e-mail: patrick.wallace@stfc.ac.uk

Received 17 May 2011 / Accepted 28 July 2011

ABSTRACT

Context. The present IAU model of precession, like its predecessors, is given as a set of polynomial approximations of various precession parameters intended for high-accuracy applications over a limited time span. Earlier comparisons with numerical integrations have shown that this model is valid only for a few centuries around the basic epoch, J2000.0, while for more distant epochs it rapidly diverges from the numerical solution. In our preceding studies we also obtained preliminary developments for the precessional contribution to the motion of the equator: coordinates *X*, *Y* of the precessing pole and precession parameters ψ_A , ω_A , suitable for use over long time intervals.

Aims. The goal of the present paper is to obtain upgraded developments for various sets of precession angles that would fit modern observations near J2000.0 and at the same time fit numerical integration of the motions of solar system bodies on scales of several thousand centuries.

Methods. We used the IAU 2006 solutions to represent the precession of the ecliptic and of the equator close to J2000.0 and, for more distant epochs, a numerical integration using the Mercury 6 package and solutions by Laskar et al. (1993, A&A, 270, 522) with upgraded initial conditions and constants to represent the ecliptic, and general precession and obliquity, respectively. From them, different precession parameters were calculated in the interval ± 200 millennia from J2000.0, and analytical expressions are found that provide a good fit for the whole interval.

Results. Series for the various precessional parameters, comprising a cubic polynomial plus from 8 to 14 periodic terms, are derived that allow precession to be computed with an accuracy comparable to IAU 2006 around the central epoch J2000.0, a few arcseconds throughout the historical period, and a few tenths of a degree at the ends of the ± 200 millennia time span. Computer algorithms are provided that compute the ecliptic and mean equator poles and the precession matrix.

Key words. astrometry - ephemerides - reference systems

1. Introduction

Precession models are designed for two different phenomena: the precession of the ecliptic due to planetary perturbations and the precession of the equator due to the luni-solar and planetary torques on the oblate Earth. In both cases, precession represents the secular part of the motion. The term "secular" will be used throughout the paper to designate quasi periodic motions with very long periods. The motion of the Celestial Intermediate Pole (CIP), or equivalently of the equator of the CIP, with respect to the Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS), is composed of precession and nutation, which are differentiated by a convention. Here we define *the precession of the equator* as that part of the motion of the equator that covers periods longer than 100 centuries, while terms of shorter periods are presumed to be included in the *nutation*.

In this connection, it is necessary to mention that the IAU 2000 model of nutation includes several terms with longer periods: 105 cy, 209 cy for the luni-solar terms and 933 cy, 150 cy, 129 cy, 113 cy for the planetary terms. The amplitudes of these terms are, however, very small (less than 4 mas for one

term and less than 0.1 mas for the others), much smaller than the amplitudes of precession with similar periods.

Similarly, the osculating elements of the Earth-Moon barycenter (EMB) orbit are quasi-periodic functions of the time that can be expressed in the form of Poisson series whose arguments are linear combinations of the mean planetary longitudes. Here we define the precession of the ecliptic as being the secularly-moving ecliptic pole (i.e. mean EMB) orbital angular momentum) vector in a fixed ecliptic frame. The IAU 2006 precession of the ecliptic was computed as the part of the motion of the ecliptic covering periods longer than 300 centuries, while shorter ones are presumed to be included in the periodic component of the ecliptic motion. Almost all models of precession in use, including the most recent one, IAU 2006 (Capitaine et al. 2003; Hilton et al. 2006), are expressed in terms of polynomial developments of all the various precession parameters, which are intended for high-accuracy applications over a time span of a few centuries.

This paper is a continuation of our preceding studies (Vondrák et al. 2009, 2011), in which we used comparisons with numerical integrations to show that the IAU 2006 model is usable only for a limited time interval (depending on the parameterization used, as short as a few centuries around the

^{*} The Appendix containing the computer code is available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

epoch J2000.0), and its errors rapidly increase with longer time spans. An independent comparison by Fienga et al. (2008) of IAU 2006 with the numerical INPOP06 solution for the motion of the Earth's axis over a few millennia shows discrepancies increasing from less than 0.2" to about 3" between -1 to -5 millennia from J2000.0. In reality, precession represents a rather complicated, very long-periodic process, whose periods are hundreds of centuries long. This behavior can clearly be seen in numerically integrated equations of motion of the Earth in the solar system and of its rotation.

The goal of the present study is to find relatively simple expressions for all precession parameters (listed, e.g., by Hilton et al. 2006), the primary ones being the orientation parameters of the secularly-moving ecliptic and equator poles with respect to a fixed celestial frame. We require that the accuracy of these expressions is comparable to the IAU 2006 model near the epoch J2000.0, while lower accuracy is allowed outside the interval ± 1000 years, gradually increasing up to several arcminutes at the extreme epochs ± 200 millennia. Thus the new expressions should be more universal, serving both for modern applications and a number of problems which require a precession model valid over long time intervals, in particular archaeoastronomy, e.g. predicting star alignments with ancient monuments, or calendrical studies involving the seasons.

2. Numerical representation of precession

2.1. Precession of the ecliptic

The numerical representation of the precession of the ecliptic was obtained as follows:

a) Integration of the solar system motion was performed using the Mercury 6 package (Chambers 1999), in the interval ± 200 millennia from J2000.0 with a 1-day step. Mercury 6 is a general-purpose software package designed to calculate the orbital evolution of objects moving in the gravitational field of a large central body, such as the motion of the planets or the Earth-Moon barycenter in the solar system. The numerical integrator is based on a second-order mixed-variable symplectic (MVS) algorithm incorporating simple symplectic correctors. To compute the orbital evolution of the Earth-Moon barycenter we used the values of planetary masses and initial positions/velocities of the "big" bodies of the solar system as recommended by Chambers in Mercury 6. Only the Sun, Mercury, Venus, Earth-Moon barycenter, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune and Pluto were taken into account. Since the Mercury 6 package does not include general relativity, it was not applied. The comparison (see below) with the solution of Laskar et al. (1993), which includes relativity, demonstrated that it has no visible consequence on the computation of P_A and Q_A .

The integration was performed for the precession parameters $P_A = \sin \pi_A \sin \Pi_A$, $Q_A = \sin \pi_A \cos \Pi_A$, which represent the orientation parameters of the secularly-moving ecliptic pole. The reference system in which these parameters are described is the mean equinox and ecliptic at J2000.0. The elements of the EMB orbit were then smoothed and interpolated with a 100-year step in order to retain only variations with periods longer than 3 millennia.

The values of P_A , Q_A were then checked against the results of Laskar (1993), who lists the values $p = \sin i/2 \sin \Omega$, $q = \sin i/2 \cos \Omega$ in 1000-year steps from -20 to +10 Myr. We used the obvious relations $P_A = 2p \sqrt{1 - p^2 - q^2}$, b) Integrated values for P_A and Q_A were replaced, inside the interval ±1000 years around J2000.0, with the values computed from the IAU 2006 model for the precession of the ecliptic which, in turn, is based on the semi-analytical theory VSOP87 (Bretagnon & Francou 1988) and JPL DE406 (Standish 1998) ephemerides.

2.2. Precession of the equator with respect to the ecliptic of date

The precession of the equator was represented by the general precession in longitude, p_A , and mean obliquity of date, ε_A , which are the orientation angles of the mean equator of date with respect to the ecliptic of date.

The numerical representation of the precession of the equator was obtained as follows:

a) The numerically integrated solution La93 by Laskar et al. (1993) of p_A and ε_A , available in the interval ±1 million years with a 1000-year step, was interpolated to give values in 100-year steps.

The La93 solution for these precession quantities was obtained from a numerical integration of the precession equations (derived from the equations of the rigid Earth theory) based on the La93 precession of the ecliptic and on numerical values adopted at the reference epoch for the mean obliquity of the ecliptic, ϵ_0 , the speed of precession, and the geodesic precession. The expression for the general precession, p_A , combines the precession in longitude of the equator and the precession of the ecliptic, the former being a function of the Earth's dynamical flattening, of the masses of the Sun, the Earth and the Moon and other constants related to the orbital elements of the Moon and the EMB. The La93 solution for the quantity ($\varepsilon_A - \epsilon_0$) results from the combined effect of the general precession and precession of the ecliptic.

Note that although an improved version of the La93 precession solution has been obtained by Laskar et al. (2004), intended for representing variations over several Myr, the differences between the La2004 and La93 solutions are insignificant in the interval ± 200 millennia from J2000.0. As the form in which the La93 solution is available is the most suitable for the purpose of our work, this solution was preferred.

Several corrections were applied to the La93 nominal solution in order to make it consistent with the constants and models upon which the IAU 2006 precession is based:

- a linear correction of -0.295767''/cy to p_A to account for the slightly different La93 value for the dynamical ellipticity of the Earth ($H_D = 0.00328005$) compared with the IAU 2006 value ($H_D = 0.003273795$), as well as for other small differences between the La93 and IAU 2006 dynamical models used in the precession equations;
- a quadratic correction of $-0.0071''/cy^2$ to p_A to account for effects considered in the IAU 2006 model and not in the La93 nominal solution, namely (i) the effect of the time rate of change, $dJ_2/dt = -3.001 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cy}^{-1}$, in the dynamical form factor, (ii) the J_2 and planetary tilt effects and (iii) the tidal effects, from Williams (1994);



Fig. 1. Precession parameters.

- a constant correction of -0.042'' to ε_A to account for the slightly different value for the obliquity between the IAU 2006 and La93 values;
- a linear correction of -0.02575''/cy to ε_A , to account for the IAU 2006 value for the obliquity rate (i.e. the rate of precession in obliquity of the equator with respect to the ecliptic of epoch), which was zero in the La93 solution; the obliquity rate is due to the planetary tilt effect, the direct planetary effect and the tidal effect in obliquity (Williams 1994).

The corrections just described can be summarized by Eq. (1); the numerical values of these total corrections for the dates of the numerical La93 solution were used to correct the latter.

$$\Delta p_{\rm A} = -0.295767''T - 0.0071''T^2, \tag{1}$$
$$\Delta \varepsilon_{\rm A} = -0.042'' - 0.02575''T.$$

The parameter T used in the above expression, as well as in those below, is the elapsed time in Julian centuries since J2000.0 TT, defined by: T = (TT - 2000 January 1d 12 h TT)/36525, with TT in days.

b) Inside the interval ± 1000 years around J2000.0, the integrated values for p_A and ϵ_A were replaced with the values computed from the IAU 2006 expressions of these quantities.

3. Calculation of the precession parameters

From the values of the precession parameters P_A , Q_A , p_A and ϵ_A , different precession parameters were calculated in the interval ±200 millennia from J2000.0.

The relations of the four above mentioned parameters to the other ones describing precession are shown in Fig. 1. To calculate them, we obtain first the auxiliary angles α, β, μ from the triangle $\Upsilon_0 N$:

$$\cos\beta = \cos\Pi_{A}\cos(\Pi_{A} + p_{A}) + \sin\Pi_{A}\sin(\Pi_{A} + p_{A})\cos\pi_{A},$$

$$\sin\beta\sin\alpha = \sin\Pi_{A}\sin\pi_{A}, \qquad (2)$$

 $\sin\beta\cos\alpha = \cos\Pi_{\rm A}\sin(\Pi_{\rm A} + p_{\rm A}) - \sin\Pi_{\rm A}\cos(\Pi_{\rm A} + p_{\rm A})\cos\pi_{\rm A},\\ \sin\beta\sin\mu = \sin(\Pi_{\rm A} + p_{\rm A})\sin\pi_{\rm A},$

 $\sin\beta\cos\mu = \sin\Pi_A\cos(\Pi_A + p_A) - \cos\Pi_A\sin(\Pi_A + p_A)\cos\pi_A$, then the angles η , δ by solving the triangle $\Upsilon \Upsilon_0 P_t$:

$$\cos \eta = \sin \beta \sin(\varepsilon_{A} + \alpha),$$

$$\sin \eta \sin \delta = \cos(\varepsilon_{A} + \alpha),$$

$$\sin \eta \cos \delta = -\cos \beta \sin(\varepsilon_{A} + \alpha)$$
(3)

and, from triangle $\Upsilon_0 P_t P_0$, we get the precession parameters θ_A, ζ_A :

$$\cos \theta_{\rm A} = -\sin \eta \sin(\mu + \delta - \varepsilon_{\circ}),$$

$$\sin \theta_{\rm A} \sin \zeta_{\rm A} = -\sin \eta \cos(\mu + \delta - \varepsilon_{\circ}),$$

$$\sin \theta_{\rm A} \cos \zeta_{\rm A} = \cos \eta.$$
(4)

From the triangle $P_0P_tC_0$ the precession parameters ω_A , ψ_A then follow:

$$\cos \omega_{\rm A} = \cos \varepsilon_{\rm o} \cos \theta_{\rm A} + \sin \varepsilon_{\rm o} \sin \theta_{\rm A} \sin \zeta_{\rm A},$$

$$\sin \omega_{\rm A} \sin \psi_{\rm A} = \sin \theta_{\rm A} \cos \zeta_{\rm A},$$
(5)

 $\sin \omega_{\rm A} \cos \psi_{\rm A} = \sin \varepsilon_{\circ} \cos \theta_{\rm A} - \cos \varepsilon_{\circ} \sin \theta_{\rm A} \sin \zeta_{\rm A},$

and from the triangles P_tCC_o , $P_oP_tC_o$ the parameters χ_A , z_A :

$$\sin \varepsilon_{A} \sin \chi_{A} = P_{A} \cos \psi_{A} + Q_{A} \sin \psi_{A},$$

$$\sin \varepsilon_{A} \cos \chi_{A} = \cos \pi_{A} \sin \omega_{A} - (P_{A} \sin \psi_{A} - Q_{A} \cos \psi_{A}) \cos \omega_{A},$$

 $\sin \theta_{\rm A} \sin(z_{\rm A} + \chi_{\rm A}) = \sin \omega_{\rm A} \cos \varepsilon_{\circ} - \cos \omega_{\rm A} \sin \varepsilon_{\circ} \cos \psi_{\rm A}, \quad (6)$ $\sin \theta_{\rm A} \cos(z_{\rm A} + \chi_{\rm A}) = \sin \varepsilon_{\circ} \sin \psi_{\rm A}.$

Instead of parameters θ_A , z_A , ζ_A we use their combinations $X_A = \sin \theta_A \cos \zeta_A$, $Y_A = -\sin \theta_A \sin \zeta_A$, $V_A = \sin \theta_A \sin z_A$, $W_A = \sin \theta_A \cos z_A$ that, unlike the former, are continuous functions of time. θ_A , ζ_A and z_A exhibit rather large discontinuities (of about 94° for θ_A , 180° for ζ_A and z_A) at intervals that are not quite regular: there is a change of sign approximately each 26 000 years. This would make the long-term analytical approximation of these parameters extremely difficult.

Note that X_A and Y_A are the precession part of the coordinates X, Y of the CIP unit vector in the GCRS, or equivalently the coordinates of the secularly-moving CIP with respect to the mean equator and equinox at J2000.0, while W_A and V_A are the coordinates of the mean pole at J2000.0 with respect to the mean equator and equinox of date.

There are three more parameters φ , γ and ψ that can be calculated from the triangles P_0C_0C and P_0CP_t :

$$\cos \varphi = \cos \pi_{A} \cos \varepsilon_{o} - Q_{A} \sin \varepsilon_{o},$$

$$\sin \varphi \sin \gamma = P_{A},$$

$$\sin \varphi \cos \gamma = \sin \varepsilon_{o} \cos \pi_{A} + Q_{A} \cos \varepsilon_{o},$$

$$\sin \varphi \sin \psi = W_{A},$$

$$\sin \varphi \cos \psi = \sin \varepsilon_{A} \cos \theta_{A} - V_{A} \cos \varepsilon_{A}.$$

(7)

We used Eqs. (2) through (7) to calculate time series of all the above precession parameters in the interval ± 2000 cy with 1-cy steps. It is important to note that all these parameters are referred to the reference frame defined by the mean equator and equinox of standard epoch J2000.0, not to the slightly-offset GCRS (both

pole and origin of the GCRS are not exactly identical with the pole and equinox of J2000.0; the offset, called "frame bias" is an unintended difference in orientation of about 23 mas between both frames – see subroutine ltp_PBMAT in the Appendix). Note also that the precession quantities are completely determined by the motions of the ecliptic pole and the equator pole, represented by the precession parameters P_A , Q_A for the ecliptic and X_A , Y_A for the equator. These four quantities will therefore be considered in the following as being the "primary precession parameters".

4. Long term analytical approximations

4.1. General method

To find the long-term analytical approximation of each of the precession parameters, we performed the following steps:

- spectral analysis of integrated values to find hidden periodicities, using the Vaníček (1969) method, based on leastsquares approximation, as modified by Vondrák (1977);
- periods found were compared with those found by Laskar et al. (1993, 2004) and where there was a match Laskar's values were adopted;
- sine/cosine amplitudes of the terms found in the preceding step, plus cubic polynomials, were fitted to the numerical integration, using a least-squares method. The weights used in the fit were chosen to avoid significant disagreement with IAU 2006 in its region of applicability while remaining consistent with the long-term character of the numerical integration: very high close to J2000.0 (equal to 10^4 inside the interval ±100 yr), decreasing quadratically with time (equal to $1/T^2$ outside the interval ±100 yr);
- small additional corrections were then applied to the constant and linear terms to ensure that the function value and its first derivative at the epoch J2000.0 were identical with those of the IAU 2006 model.

The method used leads to results that are not unique; there are strong correlations between the pairs of estimated sine/cosine terms (often due to close periods that cannot be resolved in a given time interval), so that a tiny change of a period brings about rather large changes of all estimated amplitudes. However, the function values computed from different models within the interval ± 200 millennia from J2000.0 are almost insensitive to these changes. One must keep in mind that the model is valid only within this interval, whereas outside the errors diverge rapidly. Here and in the following, these long-term expressions will be called "long-term model" or "new model", with the understanding that the model is empirical, not physical.

Below we present long-term expressions for all the precession parameters. In these models, *T* is the time in Julian centuries running from J2000.0, as defined in Sect. 2.2. Periodic terms, whose cosine and sine amplitudes in arcseconds *C*, *S* and periods in centuries *P* are given in corresponding tables, have the general form $\sum (C_i \cos 2\pi T/P_i + S_i \sin 2\pi T/P_i)$. In all these tables, according to Laskar et al. (1993), g_i and s_i (i = 1, 6) denote the secular frequencies of the perihelions and nodes of the first six planets of the solar system, respectively, while *p* designates the main precession frequency, v_k secular frequencies of the EMB orbital motion, and σ_k other frequencies of the La93 solution. Their values are provided in Tables 3 and 5 of Laskar et al. (2004) and in Table 2 of Laskar et al. (1993).

Comparisons of the new model with integrated values and the IAU 2006 model for all derived parameters are graphically



Fig. 2. Long-term model of precession parameters P_A , Q_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

Table 1. Periodic terms in P_A , Q_A .

Term	C/S	$P_{\rm A}['']$	$Q_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
σ_3	C_1	-5486.751211	-684.661560	708.15
	S_1	667.666730	-5523.863691	
$-s_1$	C_2	-17.127623	2446.283880	2309.00
	S_2	-2354.886252	-549.747450	
	C_3	-617.517403	399.671049	1620.00
	S_3	-428.152441	-310.998056	
$-s_6$	C_4	413.442940	-356.652376	492.20
	S_4	376.202861	421.535876	
	C_5	78.614193	-186.387003	1183.00
	S_5	184.778874	-36.776172	
	C_6	-180.732815	-316.800070	622.00
	S_6	335.321713	-145.278396	
	C_7	-87.676083	- 198.296071	882.00
	S_7	-185.138669	-34.744450	
	C_8	46.140315	101.135679	547.00
	S 8	-120.972830	22.885731	

198.296701 vedi ultima pagina

depicted in Figs. 2 through 9. In all these figures, the new model is represented as a solid line, while the values corresponding to the numerical integration values described in Sect. 2 are represented as a dotted line. We note that, in all cases, the two curves are so close that they are graphically indistinguishable in the whole interval; they appear as a single line. On the other hand, the IAU 2006 model (dashed line) fits well both to the integrated values and to the new model near the epoch J2000.0, but it rapidly diverges from both for more distant epochs.

4.2. Primary precession parameters

The long-term expressions for the precession of the ecliptic, P_A , Q_A , are given as

$$P_{A} = 5851.607687 - 0.1189000T -0.00028913T^{2} + 101 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{P}, Q_{A} = -1600.886300 + 1.1689818T -0.00000020T^{2} - 437 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{Q}, \qquad (8)$$

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_P , \sum_Q are given in Table 1. Names of some of the terms in Col. 1 come from Laskar (1993, 2004). The comparisons of the long-term models of the precession of the ecliptic, P_A (top), Q_A (bottom) with integrated values and the IAU 2006 model are shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 3. Long-term model of precession parameters X_A , Y_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

Table 2. Periodic terms in X_A , Y_A .

Term	C/S	$X_{\rm A}['']$	$Y_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
р	C_1	-819.940624	75004.344875	256.75
	S_1	81491.287984	1558.515853	
$-\sigma_3$	C_2	-8444.676815	624.033993	708.15
	S_2	787.163481	7774.939698	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_3	2600.009459	1251.136893	274.20
	S_3	1251.296102	-2219.534038	
$p + g_2 - g_5$	C_4	2755.175630	-1102.212834	241.45
	S_4	-1257.950837	-2523.969396	
$-s_1$	C_5	-167.659835	-2660.664980	2309.00
	S_5	-2966.799730	247.850422	
$-s_{6}$	C_6	871.855056	699.291817	492.20
	S_6	639.744522	-846.485643	
$p + s_4$	C_7	44.769698	153.167220	396.10
	S_7	131.600209	-1393.124055	
$p + s_1$	C_8	-512.313065	-950.865637	288.90
	S_8	-445.040117	368.526116	
$p-s_1$	C_9	-819.415595	499.754645	231.10
	S_9	584.522874	749.045012	
	C_{10}	-538.071099	-145.188210	1610.00
	S_{10}	-89.756563	444.704518	
	C_{11}	-189.793622	558.116553	620.00
	S_{11}	524.429630	235.934465	
$2p + s_3$	C_{12}	-402.922932	-23.923029	157.87
	S_{12}	-13.549067	374.049623	
	C_{13}	179.516345	-165.405086	220.30
	S_{13}	-210.157124	-171.330180	
	C_{14}	-9.814756	9.344131	1200.00
	S_{14}	-44.919798	-22.899655	

The long-term expressions for the precession angles $X_A = \sin \theta_A \cos \zeta_A$, $Y_A = -\sin \theta_A \sin \zeta_A$, are given as

$$X_{A} = 5453.282155 + 0.4252841T -0.00037173T^{2} - 152 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{X},$$

$$Y_{A} = -73750.930350 - 0.7675452T -0.00018725T^{2} + 231 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{Y},$$
 (9)

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_X , \sum_Y are given in Table 2. The comparisons of the long-term models of precession angles $X_A(\text{top})$ and Y_A (bottom) are shown in Fig. 3.

As P_A , Q_A and X_A , Y_A represent direction cosines, it is easy to understand that Eqs. (8) and (9), having small coefficients in Tand T^2 , are more appropriate expressions for representing those precession quantities in the long term than the usual IAU polynomial of times with large coefficients in T and T^2 .



Fig. 4. Long-term model of precession parameters p_A , ε_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

Table 3. Periodic terms in p_A , ε_A .

Term	C/S	$p_{\rm A}['']$	$\varepsilon_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
$p + s_3$	C_1	-6908.287473	753.872780	409.90
	S_1	-2845.175469	-1704.720302	
$p + s_4$	C_2	-3198.706291	-247.805823	396.15
	S_2	449.844989	-862.308358	
$p + s_6$	C_3	1453.674527	379.471484	537.22
	S_3	-1255.915323	447.832178	
$p + v_6$	C_4	-857.748557	-53.880558	402.90
•	S_4	886.736783	-889.571909	
$p + v_{10}$	C_5	1173.231614	-90.109153	417.15
	S_5	418.887514	190.402846	
$p + s_1$	C_6	-156.981465	-353.600190	288.92
	S_6	997.912441	-56.564991	
	C_7	371.836550	-63.115353	4043.00
	S_7	-240.979710	-296.222622	
	C_8	-216.619040	-28.248187	306.00
	S_8	76.541307	-75.859952	
	C_9	193.691479	17.703387	277.00
	S_9	-36.788069	67.473503	
	C_{10}	11.891524	38.911307	203.00
	S_{10}	-170.964086	3.014055	

4.3. Other precession parameters

Similarly, the long-term expressions for the general precession and obliquity, p_A , ε_A , are given as

$$p_{A} = 8134.017132 + 5043.0520035T -0.00710733T^{2} + 271 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{p}, \\ \varepsilon_{A} = 84028.206305 + 0.3624445T -0.00004039T^{2} - 110 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{e},$$
(10)

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_p , \sum_{ε} are given in Table 3. The comparisons of the long-term models of general precession p_A (reduced by a conventional rate 5045"/cy for clarity) and obliquity ε_A (bottom) are shown in Fig. 4.

Long-term approximations of the precession angles ψ_A , ω_A , are

$$\psi_{A} = 8473.343527 + 5042.7980307T -0.00740913T^{2} + 289 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{\psi}, \omega_{A} = 84283.175915 - 0.4436568T +0.00000146T^{2} + 151 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{\psi},$$
(11)

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_{ψ} , \sum_{ω} are given in Table 4. The comparison of the long-term models with integrated and IAU 2006 values of precession angles ψ_A (top, again reduced by a conventional rate 5045"/cy for clarity) and obliquity ω_A (bottom) are shown in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Long-term model of precession parameters ψ_A , ω_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

Table 4. Periodic terms in ψ_A , ω_A .

Term	C/S	$\psi_{ m A}['']$	$\omega_{ m A}['']$	P[cy]
$p + v_6$	C_1	-22206.325946	1267.727824	402.90
	S_1	-3243.236469	-8571.476251	
р	C_2	12236.649447	1702.324248	256.75
	S_2	-3969.723769	5309.796459	
	C_3	-1589.008343	-2970.553839	292.00
	S_3	7099.207893	-610.393953	
$p + s_6$	C_4	2482.103195	693.790312	537.22
	S_4	-1903.696711	923.201931	
$p + g_2 - g_5$	C_5	150.322920	-14.724451	241.45
	S_5	146.435014	3.759055	
	C_6	-13.632066	-516.649401	375.22
	S_6	1300.630106	-40.691114	
$2p + s_3$	C_7	389.437420	-356.794454	157.87
	S_7	1727.498039	80.437484	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_8	2031.433792	-129.552058	274.20
	S_8	299.854055	807.300668	
	C_9	363.748303	256.129314	203.00
	S_9	-1217.125982	83.712326	
	C_{10}	-896.747562	190.266114	440.00
	S_{10}	-471.367487	-368.654854	
	C_{11}	-926.995700	95.103991	170.72
	S_{11}	-441.682145	-191.881064	
	C_{12}	37.070667	-332.907067	713.37
	S_{12}	-86.169171	-4.263770	
	C_{13}	-597.682468	131.337633	313.00
	S_{13}	-308.320429	-270.353691	
	C_{14}	66.282812	82.731919	128.38
	S_{14}	-422.815629	11.602861	

Long-term expressions for the precession angles $V_A = \sin \theta_A \sin z_A$, $W_A = \sin \theta_A \cos z_A$, are given as

$$V_{A} = 75259.595326 + 0.0461349T -0.00005550T^{2} - 80 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{V},$$

$$W_{A} = 26.518159 - 0.0591007T -0.00002551T^{2} + 36 \times 10^{-9}T^{3} + \sum_{W},$$
 (12)

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_V , \sum_W are given in Table 5. The comparisons of the long-term models of precession angles V_A (top) and W_A (bottom) are shown in Fig. 6.

The parameter χ_A is approximated as

$$\chi_{\rm A} = -19.657270 + 0.0790159T$$
(13)
+0.00001472T² - 61 × 10⁻⁹T³ + Σ_{ν} ,

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic part \sum_{χ} are given in Table 6. The comparison of the long-term model of precession angle χ_A is shown in Fig. 7.

Δ22	nage	6	of	19
n22,	page	υ	01	12

Term	C/S	$V_{\rm A}['']$	$W_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
р	C_1	-73711.656479	4107.948923	256.75
	S_1	3740.469844	80317.421541	
$p + v_6$	C_2	1338.703810	-5212.021439	402.90
	S_2	-7619.864469	-973.964881	
	C_3	-2102.113931	-1161.734038	292.00
	S_3	-1168.868697	1980.130219	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_4	-1237.679154	3288.125810	274.20
	S_4	3101.092117	1315.324568	
$p + g_2 - g_5$	C_5	1031.024249	2684.081582	241.45
	S_5	2474.428418	-1144.800451	
$2p + s_3$	C_6	221.209559	-1625.788259	157.87
	S_6	-1699.410673	-213.158325	
$-\sigma_3$	C_7	-130.642468	-1920.032088	708.15
	S_7	-634.420997	357.375148	
$-s_1$	C_8	-335.984247	-113.715048	2309.00
	S_8	-72.018405	-156.067912	

467.533287

843.007092

-226.324142

-581.939534

-765.341723

241.809012

368.572745

262.586453

-374.355333

-358.994566

197.458502

-133.002693

594.562037

-70.507850

-643.236992

270.980920

153.070947

643.379879

259.200239

-334.222195

-334.555555

350.682234

-102.424278

-167.044988

537.22

231.10

375.22

175.92

153.70

347.23



Fig. 6. Long-term model of precession parameters V_A , W_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).



Fig. 7. Long-term model of precession parameter χ_A – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

The long-term expressions for precession parameters φ , γ are

$$\varphi = 82927.719123 + 1.7209261T +0.00022150T^2 - 713 \times 10^{-9}T^3 + \sum_{\varphi}, \gamma = 15692.442005 + 1.6593090T -0.00179587T^2 - 746 \times 10^{-9}T^3 + \sum_{\gamma},$$
(14)

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic parts \sum_{φ} , \sum_{γ} are given in Table 7. The comparisons of the long-term models of precession parameters φ and γ are illustrated in Fig. 8.

Table 5. Periodic terms in V_A , W_A .

 C_9

 S_9

 C_{10}

 S_{10}

 C_{11}

 S_{11}

 $C_{12} S_{12}$

 C_{13}

 S_{13}

 C_{14}

 S_{14}

 $p + s_6$

 $p - s_1$

 $2p + s_3$

	-	D ' 1'		•
Table	6.	Periodic	terms	$10 V_{\Lambda}$
10010	~.	1 erroure		$- \Lambda A$

Term	C/S	$\chi_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
$p + v_6$	C_1	-13765.924050	402.90
	S_1	-2206.967126	
р	C_2	13511.858383	256.75
	S_2	-4186.752711	
	C_3	-1455.229106	292.00
	S_3	6737.949677	
$p + s_6$	C_4	1054.394467	537.22
	S_4	-856.922846	
	C_5	-112.300144	375.22
	S_5	957.149088	
$2p + s_3$	C_6	202.769908	157.87
	S_6	1709.440735	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_7	1936.050095	274.20
	S_7	154.425505	
	C_8	327.517465	202.00
	S_8	-1049.071786	
	C_9	-655.484214	440.00
	S_9	-243.520976	
	C_{10}	-891.898637	170.72
	S_{10}	-406.539008	
	C_{11}	-494.780332	315.00
	S_{11}	-301.504189	
	C_{12}	585.492621	136.32
	S_{12}	41.348740	
	C_{13}	-333.322021	128.38
	S_{13}	-446.656435	
	C_{14}	110.512834	490.00
	S_{14}	142.525186	

Table 7. Periodic terms in φ , γ .

Term	C/S	arphi['']	$\gamma['']$	P[cy]
$-\sigma_3$	C_1	-833.806815	-14495.564540	708.15
	S_{1}	-5526.951704	2257.804647	
$-s_1$	C_2	2823.884629	-2167.091026	2309.00
	S_2	-1212.834872	-7697.230957	
$-s_6$	C_3	-561.517371	1899.045700	492.20
	S_3	490.770010	997.239685	
	C_4	12.512328	-894.791221	1183.00
	S_4	-232.035721	271.082273	
	C_5	-545.283996	329.762564	622.00
	S_5	-52.307734	1209.810784	
	C_6	76.426007	-261.214037	354.00
	S_6	-48.151211	-328.902881	
	C_7	26.817957	487.932928	973.00
	S_7	-9.550134	-288.228510	
$p + s_6$	C_8	369.908364	-290.122051	537.22
	S_8	40.213499	-675.692962	
	C_9	143.346762	-515.145728	448.00
	S_9	-32.637763	-110.229138	
$p + v_6$	C_{10}	-58.600988	214.745407	402.90
	S_{10}	13.262332	36.320865	

The last parameter ψ (not to be confused with a different parameter ψ_A , see Fig. 1) is approximated as

$$\psi = 22896.886816 + 5043.9709002T$$
(15)
-0.00909406T² - 167 × 10⁻⁹T³ + Σ_{ulr} ,

where the cosine/sine amplitudes of the periodic part \sum_{ψ} are given in Table 8. The comparison of the long-term model of precession angle ψ , with a nominal reduction by 5045"/cy, is shown in Fig. 9.



Fig. 8. Long-term model of precession parameters φ , γ – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).



Fig. 9. Long-term model of precession parameter ψ – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

Table 8. Periodic terms in ψ .

Term	C/S	$\psi["]$	P[cy]
$-\sigma_3$	C_1	-13340.687483	708.15
	S_1	1892.926477	
$p + v_6$	C_2	-9099.125382	402.90
	S_2	-566.489736	
$-s_1$	C_3	-1989.898246	2309.00
	S_3	-6961.864976	
$p + s_6$	C_4	1093.486320	537.22
	S_4	-2285.515288	
$-s_6$	C_5	1905.509931	492.22
	S_5	1526.292737	
	C_6	-1337.274656	1144.00
	S_6	337.799534	
	C_7	-259.922484	292.00
	S_7	1090.851596	
	C_8	358.950401	622.00
	S_8	1337.010368	
	C_9	-1009.702849	440.00
	S_9	-972.273544	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_{10}	187.487948	274.20
	S_{10}	70.798210	
	C_{11}	-271.194584	356.00
	S_{11}	-293.382950	
	C_{12}	-131.629975	319.00
	S_{12}	-87.550070	
	C_{13}	11.546954	202.00
	S_{13}	-175.815418	
	C_{14}	985.567290	1002.00
	S_{14}	-232.712726	

5. Precession matrix, and parameterization options

There are several ways to compute the precession matrix **P**, which is used to transform the rectangular coordinates of a celestial target from the coordinate system based upon the mean equator and equinox of epoch J2000.0 to that of an arbitrary date. We can use combinations of various parameters to do this; the different possibilities are discussed by Hilton et al. (2006). These possibilities include a form of the matrix that refers right ascensions to a point of the mean equator of date that is independent of the precession of the equinox (see Sect. 5.5).

5.1. Matrix based on the equatorial precession parameters

The classical parameterization of the precession matrix (Lieske et al. 1977) is obtained by a sequence of three rotations: by $-\zeta_A$ (around the *z*-axis), θ_A (around the new position of the *y*-axis), and $-z_A$ (around the new position of the *z*-axis):

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}_3(-z_A)\mathbf{R}_2(\theta_A)\mathbf{R}_3(-\zeta_A), \qquad (16)$$

where R_i stands for the rotation matrix around the *i*th axis. Thus the individual elements of **P** are given as

$$P_{11} = \cos z_A \cos \theta_A \cos \zeta_A - \sin z_A \sin \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{12} = -\cos z_A \cos \theta_A \sin \zeta_A - \sin z_A \cos \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{13} = -\cos z_A \sin \theta_A,$$

$$P_{21} = \sin z_A \cos \theta_A \cos \zeta_A + \cos z_A \sin \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{22} = -\sin z_A \cos \theta_A \sin \zeta_A + \cos z_A \cos \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{23} = -\sin z_A \sin \theta_A,$$

$$P_{31} = \sin \theta_A \cos \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{32} = -\sin \theta_A \sin \zeta_A,$$

$$P_{33} = \cos \theta_A.$$
(17)

As mentioned earlier (Sect. 2) the properties of the three angles make the development of long-term series problematical. These difficulties are avoided by instead re-expressing the matrix elements in terms of the angles V_A , W_A , X_A and Y_A :

$$P_{11} = (X_A W_A Z_A + Y_A V_A) / r^2,$$

$$P_{12} = (Y_A W_A Z_A - X_A V_A) / r^2,$$

$$P_{13} = -W_A,$$

$$P_{21} = (X_A V_A Z_A - Y_A W_A) / r^2,$$

$$P_{22} = (Y_A V_A Z_A + X_A W_A) / r^2,$$

$$P_{23} = -V_A,$$

$$P_{31} = X_A,$$

$$P_{31} = X_A,$$

$$P_{32} = Y_A,$$

$$P_{33} = Z_A,$$
(18)

where the developments of X_A , Y_A and V_A , W_A are given by (9) and (12), respectively, $r^2 = X_A^2 + Y_A^2$ and $Z_A = (1 - r^2)^{1/2}$.

An obvious disadvantage of this parameterization is the singularity at the epoch J2000.0, when $X_A = Y_A = V_A = W_A = r^2 = 0$; in this special case, **P** is the identity matrix.

5.2. Matrix using the precession of the equator relative to the fixed ecliptic

The parameterization used e.g. by Capitaine et al. (2003) provides a clear separation between the precession of the equator and precession of the ecliptic, by using a sequence of four rotations: around the *x*-axis by ε_0 , around the *z*-axis by $-\psi_A$, around the *x*-axis by $-\omega_A$, and around the *z*-axis by χ_A (the first three describing the precession of the equator with respect to the fixed ecliptic, the last one precession of the ecliptic), i.e.

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}_3(\chi_A)\mathbf{R}_1(-\omega_A)\mathbf{R}_3(-\psi_A)\mathbf{R}_1(\varepsilon_0).$$
(19)

The angle $\varepsilon_0 = 84\,381.406''$; the remaining three parameters are given by developments (11) and (13). Elements of the precession

matrix can then be computed from

$$P_{11} = \cos \chi_{\rm A} \cos \psi_{\rm A} + \sin \chi_{\rm A} \cos \omega_{\rm A} \sin \psi_{\rm A},$$

$$P_{12} = (-\cos\chi_{\rm A}\sin\psi_{\rm A} + \sin\chi_{\rm A}\cos\omega_{\rm A}\cos\psi_{\rm A})\cos\varepsilon_{\rm 0} + \sin\chi_{\rm A}\sin\omega_{\rm A}\sin\varepsilon_{\rm 0},$$

$$P_{13} = (-\cos\chi_{\rm A}\sin\psi_{\rm A} + \sin\chi_{\rm A}\cos\omega_{\rm A}\cos\psi_{\rm A})\sin\varepsilon_{\rm 0} -\sin\chi_{\rm A}\sin\omega_{\rm A}\cos\varepsilon_{\rm 0},$$

(20)

$$P_{21} = -\sin\chi_{\rm A}\cos\psi_{\rm A} + \cos\chi_{\rm A}\cos\omega_{\rm A}\sin\psi_{\rm A},$$

 $P_{22} = (\sin \chi_{\rm A} \sin \psi_{\rm A} + \cos \chi_{\rm A} \cos \omega_{\rm A} \cos \psi_{\rm A}) \cos \varepsilon_{\rm 0}$ $+ \cos \chi_{\rm A} \sin \omega_{\rm A} \sin \varepsilon_{\rm 0},$

$$P_{23} = (\sin \chi_A \sin \psi_A + \cos \chi_A \cos \omega_A \cos \psi_A) \sin \varepsilon_0 - \cos \chi_A \sin \omega_A \cos \varepsilon_0,$$

$$P_{31} = \sin \omega_A \sin \psi_A$$

- $P_{32} = \sin \omega_{\rm A} \cos \psi_{\rm A} \cos \varepsilon_0 \cos \omega_{\rm A} \sin \varepsilon_0$
- $P_{33} = \sin \omega_{\rm A} \cos \psi_{\rm A} \sin \varepsilon_0 + \cos \omega_{\rm A} \cos \varepsilon_0.$

5.3. Matrix using the precession of the equator relative to the moving ecliptic

An alternative parameterization was proposed by Williams (1994) and Fukushima (2003). It is comprised of a sequence of four rotations: by γ around the *z*-axis, by φ around the *x*-axis, by $-\psi$ around the *z*-axis, and by $-\varepsilon_A$ around the *x*-axis (the first one describing the precession of the ecliptic, the last three precession of the equator relative to the moving ecliptic), i.e.

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{R}_1(-\varepsilon_A)\mathbf{R}_3(-\psi)\mathbf{R}_1(\varphi)\mathbf{R}_3(\gamma), \tag{21}$$

where the expressions to compute parameter ε_A are given by Eq. (10), ψ by Eq. (15), and φ , γ by Eqs. (14). The elements of matrix **P** can then be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11} &= \cos\psi\cos\gamma + \sin\psi\cos\varphi\sin\gamma, \\ P_{12} &= \cos\psi\sin\gamma - \sin\psi\cos\varphi\cos\gamma, \\ P_{13} &= -\sin\psi\sin\varphi, \\ P_{21} &= \cos\varepsilon_{A}\sin\psi\cos\gamma - (\cos\varepsilon_{A}\cos\psi\cos\varphi + \sin\varepsilon_{A}\sin\varphi)\sin\gamma, \\ P_{22} &= \cos\varepsilon_{A}\sin\psi\sin\gamma + (\cos\varepsilon_{A}\cos\psi\cos\varphi + \sin\varepsilon_{A}\sin\varphi)\cos\gamma, \\ P_{23} &= \cos\varepsilon_{A}\cos\psi\sin\varphi - \sin\varepsilon_{A}\cos\varphi, \\ P_{31} &= \sin\varepsilon_{A}\sin\psi\cos\gamma - (\sin\varepsilon_{A}\cos\psi\cos\varphi - \cos\varepsilon_{A}\sin\varphi)\sin\gamma, \\ P_{32} &= \sin\varepsilon_{A}\sin\psi\sin\gamma + (\sin\varepsilon_{A}\cos\psi\cos\varphi - \cos\varepsilon_{A}\sin\varphi)\sin\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

 $P_{33} = \sin \varepsilon_{\rm A} \cos \psi \sin \varphi + \cos \varepsilon_{\rm A} \cos \varphi.$

5.4. Matrix based on the ecliptic and mean equator poles

Fabri (1980) bypassed the conventional precession angles, and derived polynomials to deliver directly the two unit vectors representing the ecliptic and mean equator poles. Murray (1983, Sect. 5.4.2), used these vectors to generate the precession matrix:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \boldsymbol{k} \rangle \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \langle \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \boldsymbol{k} \rangle \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(23)

where the vectors k and \bar{n} are the ecliptic pole and mean equator pole, respectively. In the Appendix we provide two computer subroutines, ltp_PECL and ltp_PEQU, to generate the two vectors (with respect to the J2000.0 equator and equinox), and in a third, ltp_PMAT, we use them to generate the precession matrix. A fourth subroutine, ltp_PBMAT, applies a small rotation (the "frame bias") to the matrix, for use when the starting vector is with respect to the GCRS.



Fig. 10. Locator s_A due to precession – new model and integrated values (solid), IAU2006 (dashed).

5.5. Matrix for the precession of the equator

In 2000/2003, the IAU introduced a new zero point for right ascension. The celestial intermediate origin (CIO) is a kinematically defined point that has no connection with equinox or ecliptic. Its use has the advantage that precession-nutation and Earth rotation are kept separate, and in particular means that sidereal time is replaced by Earth rotation angle (ERA), which is simply a linear transformation of UT1. It also means that precessionnutation is defined only by the motion of the celestial pole (cf. Sect. 6), which can be taken into account by the GCRS coordinates of the CIP unit vector, and consequently that we can construct a precession matrix using only the X_A , Y_A series, Eq. (9). Using Capitaine & Wallace (2006), Eqs. (3)–(5), and neglecting nutation and bias, the rotation matrix from GCRS to current coordinates can be written as:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{CIO}} = \mathbf{R}_{3}(-s_{\text{A}}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 - aX_{\text{A}}^{2} & -aX_{\text{A}}Y_{\text{A}} & -X_{\text{A}} \\ -aX_{\text{A}}Y_{\text{A}} & 1 - aY_{\text{A}}^{2} & -Y_{\text{A}} \\ X_{\text{A}} & Y_{\text{A}} & Z_{\text{A}} \end{pmatrix},$$
(24)

with

$$a = 1/(1 + Z_{\rm A}).$$
 (25)

The quantity s_A is derived from the kinematical definition of the CIO and involves an integral: Capitaine & Wallace (2006), Sect. 4, describe methods to do this. The development of s_A derived from the expressions for X_A and Y_A (Eq. (9)) must be taken into account for measuring the ERA in the long term. It is approximated by Eq. (26), in which \sum_s is the periodic part, given in Table 9,

$$s_{\rm A} = 3566.723572 - 414.3015011T$$
(26)
+0.00085448T² + 365 × 10⁻⁹T³ + Σ_s .

The comparison of the long-term model of locator s_A with its IAU 2006 value, after a nominal reduction by -413''/cy, is shown in Fig. 10. The complete value of *s* can be obtained by adding the effects of nutation, which consist of a small negative linear term plus a short-periodic part, the amplitude of which does not exceed a few arcseconds.

In contrast, only the second part of the matrix product in Eq. (24) is necessary to provide the celestial pole. Writing Eq. (24) as

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{CIO}} = \mathbf{R}_3(-s_{\text{A}}) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\Sigma} \tag{27}$$

defines Σ as the point on the mean equator such that $\Upsilon_0 N = \Sigma N$, *N* being the node of the mean equator of date on the mean equator at J2000.0. **P**_{Σ} can be expressed in terms of unit row vectors:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\Sigma} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{\Sigma} \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \times \boldsymbol{v}_{\Sigma} \\ \bar{\boldsymbol{n}} \end{pmatrix},\tag{28}$$

Table 9. Periodic terms in s_A .

Term	C/S	$s_{\rm A}['']$	P[cy]
р	C_1	861.759585	256.75
	S_{1}	17367.906013	
$p + \sigma_3$	C_2	-3534.781660	402.79
	S_2	-206.865955	
$-\sigma_3$	C_3	-1757.969632	708.15
	S_3	937.453020	
$p + s_1$	C_4	-379.971514	288.92
	S_4	794.788562	
$p - g_2 + g_5$	C_5	808.400066	274.20
	S_5	101.350197	
$p + s_6$	C_6	528.646661	537.22
•	S_6	-509.801031	
$p + g_2 - g_5$	C_7	566.991239	241.45
	S_7	-302.310637	
$-s_4$	C_8	-164.251097	729.81
	S_8	-538.092166	
	C_9	239.102099	483.00
	S_9	383.848135	
	C_{10}	-239.146933	438.22
	S_{10}	-373.925805	
	C_{11}	-61.768986	128.38
	S_{11}	-344.946642	
	C_{12}	-279.716974	1552.00
	S_{12}	-85.660616	
$2g_2 - 2g_5$	C_{13}	-96.750819	2022.00
- 0	S_{13}	-132.781674	
	C_{14}	-57.265608	230.44
	S_{14}	38.452480	

which identifies the top row of the \mathbf{P}_{Σ} matrix as the Σ vector, the bottom row as the mean equator pole vector and the middle row as the corresponding *y*-axis. As the point Σ is independent of the motion of the ecliptic, the matrix \mathbf{P}_{Σ} represents only the precession of the equator.

6. Accuracy comparisons

The ecliptic part of a precession model is essentially a matter of convention, and hence the position of the equinox is as well. In fact the position of the zero point of right ascension is irrelevant for many historical studies, because star visibilities and alignments (rise azimuth for example) depend only on the celestial pole. Consequently, most of the comparisons below (Sects. 6.1 to 6.4) are based solely on the angular separation between the given equator pole and that predicted by the X_A, Y_A model (Eq. (9)), as implemented in the ltp_PEQU subroutine (Sect. A.2). However, for the comparison between the new precession model and reality (Sect. 6.5), we consider both the ecliptic and the equator.

6.1. New model versus numerical integration

Figure 11 summarizes how well the new model fits the numerical integrations (and IAU 2006 in the vicinity of J2000), based on the RMS accuracies for the individual parameters. The average RMS unit-weight error for all parameters was used, in combination with individual weights at different epochs, to compute the plot. The upper plot shows the full time span, the lower one holds for the central ± 10 millennia.



Fig. 11. Overall agreement between the new model and the numerical integration.



Fig. 12. New-model poles compared, using Eq. (9) as the reference model.

6.2. Internal consistency of new model

The series given earlier provide not just one precession model, but a choice of several. Each relies on series that have been independently fitted to the numerical integrations, and so each can be expected to behave differently in some respects. It is reasonable to expect the different parameterizations to deliver the accuracies suggested by Fig. 11, but at the same time the statistical basis of each fit is the angle being fitted, not the consequences on the sky, and so differences in character can be expected.

Figure 12 compares the results from three parameterizations, with the ltp_PEQU subroutine (which uses the X_A , Y_A parameterization) used as the reference model. The solid line is for the ε_0 , ψ_A , ω_A , χ_A method. The dotted line is for the ζ_A , θ_A , z_A method, these angles being deduced from V_A , W_A , X_A , Y_A . The dashed line is for the γ , φ , ψ , ε_0 method.

All of the parameterizations agree well within a few millennia of J2000.0, and are broadly consistent with the error estimates given above over most of the time span. At the most extreme epochs the $\gamma, \varphi, \psi, \varepsilon_0$ and X_A, Y_A methods agree less well.



Fig. 13. Comparison of Eq. (9) pole with other models, medium-term.



Fig. 14. Comparison of Eq. (9) pole with IAU 2006, short-term.

The sporadic improvements in the ζ_A , θ_A , z_A case are probably because it and the reference method both use the X_A , Y_A series as a basis.

6.3. Comparisons with existing formulations

Figure 13 compares the ltp_PMAT pole and several standard precession models, past and present, over a time interval that is slightly more than one precessional cycle. The dotted line shows the differences with respect to the IAU 1976 model, which uses the ζ_A , θ_A , z_A parameterization. The finer of the two dashed lines is for the IAU 2000 model, using the $\varepsilon_0, \psi_A, \omega_A, \chi_A$ parameterization, where ψ_A and ω_A are from Lieske et al. 1977 with the IAU 2000 rate adjustments added. The solid line is the IAU 2006 model, implemented using the $\varepsilon_0, \psi_A, \omega_A, \chi_A$ parameterization (and in fact giving almost exactly the same performance as IAU 1976 in the millennia of most historical interest). The coarser of the two dashed lines is also the IAU 2006 precession, but using direct series for CIP X_A , Y_A , which are developments of the coordinates of the pole unit vector in the mean equator and equinox frame of epoch as polynomial of time around J2000.0; this method (denoted "IERS" in Fig. 13) combines precision and convenience in the modern era, but is not intended for remote epochs.

6.4. New model versus IAU 2006, short term

Figure 14 compares the ltp_PMAT pole with the IAU 2006 model (via $\varepsilon_0, \psi_A, \omega_A, \chi_A$), for 2000 years centered on J2000. The central portion of the plot shows that replacing the precession portion of the IAU 2006/2000A CIP model would lead to

changes in the 20th and 21st centuries that are rather less than $100\,\mu$ as (comparable with current VLBI uncertainties) and may be acceptable in some multi-purpose computer applications.

6.5. New model versus reality

The evaluation of the accuracy of a model requires comparison with real observations. However, this is possible only for recent epochs, when precise observations are available. In the case of the precession models developed in this paper, the accuracy in the vicinity of J2000.0 has been ensured by the use, inside the interval ±1000 years around that epoch, of the IAU 2006 precession model, the basic constants of which were fitted to the DE406 ephemerides for the ecliptic and to VLBI observations for the equator. The long-term accuracy can be evaluated by comparison with other numerical integrations. This is possible for the motion of the ecliptic, for which independent integrated solutions exist (La93 and La2004 from Laskar et al. 1993; and 2004, respectively). The good consistency with the La93 solution for the ecliptic has been reported in Sect. 2.1. Note that, as the La2004 and La93 ecliptic solutions are nearly identical over ± 200 millennia from J2000.0, this property is also valid with the La2004 solution.

For the equator, a comparison of the long term expression (Eq. (10)) for ε_A with the corresponding independent La2004 integrated solution shows discrepancies that are below 0.1" around the central J2000.0 epoch and reach about 200" for the most distant epochs. A similar comparison cannot be performed for the precession quantity p_A , the La2004 solution of which is not available; however, an evaluation of the uncertainty can be reflected through the differences between two forms of the La93 solutions based on different tidal dissipation values, which appear to be within an order of magnitude of the discrepancies reported above for ε_A .

Another way to evaluate the accuracy is to evaluate the possible errors in the integration, i.e. both the accumulated errors in the long term integration and the expected uncertainties in the initial conditions and the numerical values of key parameters of the models upon which the solutions are based. The most important uncertainties for representing the EMB orbital angular momentum in the long term concern the physical parameters in the solar system and the knowledge of the model which is inevitably imperfect.

The most important uncertainties for the precession of the equator are in the change in the Earth's dynamical ellipticity (or equivalently the dynamical form factor J_2) and in the evolution of the dissipative effects, especially the tidal dissipation in the Earth-Moon system and the corresponding evolution of the orbit of the Moon. Note in particular that, even if the IAU 2006 values for dJ_2/dt and the tidal dissipation are in agreement with the values derived from long term studies of the Earth rotation variations by Morrison & Stephenson (1997), based upon eclipse data over two millennia, the predictive knowledge of those values cannot be extended to a time span 200 times longer without increasing considerably the uncertainty.

7. Conclusions

The present study provides precession expressions that are appropriate for multi-purpose applications covering the ± 200 millennia time span around J2000.0. These expressions are based on the IAU 2006 solutions close to J2000.0 and, for more distant epochs, a numerically integrated solution, made using the Mercury 6 package (Chambers 1999) to represent the ecliptic and La93 (Laskar et al. 1993) solution with upgraded constants, to represent general precession and obliquity. From them, different precession parameters have been calculated in the interval ±200 millennia from J2000.0, and analytical approximations have been found to obtain a good fit for the whole interval. The precession of the equator and the precession of the ecliptic have been clearly distinguished, by providing separately the expressions for the "primary precession parameters" (P_A, Q_A for the ecliptic and X_A , Y_A for the equator) that are sufficient to obtain the directions of the ecliptic pole and equator pole, respectively, in a fixed reference frame. Expressions for a number of other precession quantities have also been provided, to support various other kinds of calculations.

We have shown that these precession expressions, each of which comprises a cubic polynomial plus from 8 to 14 periodic terms, allow precession to be computed with accuracy comparable to IAU 2006 around the central epoch J2000.0, to a few arcseconds throughout the historical period, and to better than a degree at the ends of the ± 200 millennia time span.

Software is provided that computes the ecliptic and mean equator poles, and the classical precession matrix.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported for one of us (J.V.) by the project LC506 "Recent dynamics of the Earth" of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic. We thank M. Šidlichovský for helping us with using Mercury 6 package, and also to the referee, D. D. McCarthy, for his valuable comments on the text.

References

- Bretagnon, P., & Francou, G. 1988, A&A, 202, 309
- Capitaine, N., & Wallace, P. T. 2006, A&A, 450, 855
- Capitaine, N., Wallace, P. T., & Chapront, J. 2003, A&A, 412, 567
- Chambers, J. E. 1999, MNRAS, 304, 793
- Fabri, E. 1980, A&A, 82, 123
- Fienga, A., Manche, M., Laskar, H., & Gastineau, J. 2008, A&A, 477, 315 Fukushima, T. 2003, AJ, 126, 494
- Hilton, J., Capitaine, N., Chapront, J., et al. 2006, Cel. Mech. Dyn. Astr., 94, 351
- Laskar, J., Joutel, F., & Boudin, F. 1993, A&A, 270, 522
- Laskar, J., Robutel, P., Joutel, F., et al. 2004, A&A, 428, 261
- Lieske, J. H., Lederle, T., Fricke, W., & Morando, B. 1977, A&A, 58, 1
- Morrison, L., & Stephenson, R. 1997, Contemp. Phys., 38, 13
- Murray, C. A. 1983, Vectorial Astrometry, Adam Hilger Ltd, Bristol,
- ISBN 0-85274-372-6
- Standish, E. M. 1998, in JPL IOM 312, F-98-048
- Vaníček, P. 1969, Ap&SS, 4, 387
- Vondrák, J. 1977, Studia Geopys. Geod., 21, 107
- Vondrák, J., Capitaine, N., & Wallace, P. T. 2009, in Journées 2008 Systèmes de référence spatio-temporels, ed. M. Soffel, & N. Capitaine, Lohrmann Observatorium Dresden and Observatoire de Paris, 23
- Vondrák, J., Capitaine, N., & Wallace, P. T. 2011, in Journées 2010 Systèmes de référence spatio-temporels, ed. N. Capitaine, Observatoire de Paris, in press Williams, J. G. 1994, AJ, 108, 711

Pages 12 to 19 are available in the electronic edition of the journal at http://www.aanda.org

A&A 534, A22 (2011)

Appendix: Implementation in software

Here we present four computer subroutines that implement the long-term precession model. The chosen parameterization is P_A , Q_A for the ecliptic pole and X_A , Y_A for the equator pole. These results enable the precession matrix **P** to be computed. Two versions of the precession matrix are presented, the first for use with J2000.0 mean place and the second for use with Geocentric Celestial Reference System (GCRS) coordinates. Fortran code is used; implementations in other languages would be along very similar lines. The time argument for all four subroutines is Julian epoch (TT).

A.1. Precession of the ecliptic

The Fortran subroutine ltp_PECL generates the unit vector for the pole of the ecliptic, using the series for P_A , Q_A (Eq. (8) and Table 1):

```
SUBROUTINE ltp_PECL ( EPJ, VEC )
*+
*
*
   PECL
*
   - - - - -
*
*
  Long-term precession of the ecliptic.
*
*
  Given:
*
     EPJ
               d
                      Julian epoch (TT)
*
*
  Returned:
*
     VEC
               d
                      ecliptic pole unit vector
*
*
  The vector is with respect to the J2000.0 mean equator and equinox.
*
  Reference: Vondrak et al., A&A (2011), Eq.8, Tab.1
*
*
  Date: 2011 May 14
*
*
  Authors: J.Vondrak, N.Capitaine, P.Wallace
*
*_____
     IMPLICIT NONE
     DOUBLE PRECISION EPJ, VEC(3)
  Arcseconds to radians
     DOUBLE PRECISION AS2R
     PARAMETER ( AS2R = 4.848136811095359935899141D-6 )
*
  2Pi
     DOUBLE PRECISION D2PI
     PARAMETER ( D2PI = 6.283185307179586476925287D0 )
*
  Obliquity at J2000.0 (radians).
     DOUBLE PRECISION EPS0
     PARAMETER ( EPS0 = 84381.406D0 * AS2R )
*
  Number of polynomial terms
     INTEGER NPOL
     PARAMETER ( NPOL = 4 )
*
  Number of periodic terms
     INTEGER NPER
     PARAMETER ( NPER = 8 )
*
  Miscellaneous
     INTEGER I, J
     DOUBLE PRECISION T, P, Q, W, A, S, C, Z
```

```
*
  Polynomial and periodic coefficients
      DOUBLE PRECISION PQPOL(NPOL,2), PQPER(5,NPER)
*
  Polynomials
      DATA ((PQPOL(I,J),I=1,NPOL),J=1,2) /
     :
             +5851.607687
     :
                              DØ.
                -0.1189000
     :
                              D0.
                -0.00028913 D0,
     :
                +0.000000101 D0,
     :
     :
                              D0,
             -1600.886300
     :
                +1.1689818
                             D0,
     :
                -0.00000020 D0,
     :
                -0.000000437 D0 /
     :
*
  Periodics
       DATA ((PQPER(I,J),I=1,5),J=1,NPER) /
     ÷
     :
         708.15D0,
                   -5486.751211D0,
                                       -684.661560D0,
                      667.666730D0, -5523.863691D0,
     2
                      -17.127623D0,
        2309.00D0,
                                      2446.283880D0,
     :
                     -2354.886252D0,
                                       -549.747450D0,
     :
                                       399.671049D0,
        1620.00D0,
                     -617.517403D0,
     :
                      -428.152441D0,
                                       -310.998056D0,
     :
     :
         492.20D0,
                      413.442940D0,
                                       -356.652376D0,
                      376.202861D0.
                                       421.535876D0.
     :
        1183.00D0,
                       78.614193D0,
                                       -186.387003D0,
     :
                      184.778874D0,
                                        -36.776172D0,
     :
     :
         622.00D0,
                      -180.732815D0,
                                       -316.800070D0,
     2
                      335.321713D0,
                                       -145.278396D0,
     2
         882.00D0,
                      -87.676083D0,
                                       198.296071D0, 198.296701 (vedi ultima pagina)
                                        -34.744450D0,
     :
                      -185.138669D0,
         547.00D0.
                       46.140315D0,
                                        101.135679D0,
     :
                      -120.972830D0,
                                        22.885731D0 /
     :
```

- * Centuries since J2000. T = (EPJ-2000D0)/100D0
- * Initialize P_A and Q_A accumulators. P = 0D0 Q = 0D0

```
* Periodic terms.
```

*

DO I=1,NPER W = D2PI*T A = W/PQPER(1,I) S = SIN(A) C = COS(A) P = P + C*PQPER(2,I) + S*PQPER(4,I) Q = Q + C*PQPER(3,I) + S*PQPER(5,I)END DO

```
Polynomial terms.

W = 1D0

DO I=1,NPOL

P = P + PQPOL(I,1)*W

Q = Q + PQPOL(I,2)*W

W = W*T

END DO
```

* P_A and Q_A (radians).
 P = P*AS2R

```
Q = Q*AS2R
* Form the ecliptic pole vector.
Z = SQRT(MAX(1D0-P*P-Q*Q,0D0))
S = SIN(EPS0)
C = COS(EPS0)
VEC(1) = P
VEC(2) = - Q*C - Z*S
VEC(3) = - Q*S + Z*C
END
```

A.2. Precession of the equator

The Fortran subroutine ltp_PEQU generates the unit vector for the pole of the equator, using the series for X_A , Y_A (Eq. (9) and Table 2):

```
SUBROUTINE ltp_PEQU ( EPJ, VEQ )
*+
*
*
   PEQU
*
   - - - - -
*
*
  Long-term precession of the equator.
*
*
  Given:
*
     EPJ
              d
                      Julian epoch (TT)
*
*
  Returned:
*
                      equator pole unit vector
               d
     VEQ
*
*
  The vector is with respect to the J2000.0 mean equator and equinox.
*
*
  Reference: Vondrak et al., A&A (2011), Eq.9, Tab.2
*
*
  Date: 2011 May 14
*
*
  Authors: J.Vondrak, N.Capitaine, P.Wallace
*
*_____
     IMPLICIT NONE
     DOUBLE PRECISION EPJ, VEQ(3)
*
  Arcseconds to radians
     DOUBLE PRECISION AS2R
     PARAMETER ( AS2R = 4.848136811095359935899141D-6 )
*
  2Pi
     DOUBLE PRECISION D2PI
     PARAMETER ( D2PI = 6.283185307179586476925287D0 )
*
  Number of polynomial terms
     INTEGER NPOL
     PARAMETER ( NPOL = 4 )
*
  Number of periodic terms
     INTEGER NPER
     PARAMETER ( NPER = 14 )
*
  Miscellaneous
     INTEGER I, J
     DOUBLE PRECISION T, X, Y, W, A, S, C
```

```
Polynomial and periodic coefficients
      DOUBLE PRECISION XYPOL(NPOL,2), XYPER(5,NPER)
*
   Polynomials
      DATA ((XYPOL(I,J),I=1,NPOL),J=1,2) /
     :
             +5453.282155
     :
                              DØ.
                +0.4252841
     :
                              D0.
                -0.00037173 D0,
     :
                -0.000000152 D0,
     :
     ÷
            -73750.930350
                              D0,
     :
                              D0,
                -0.7675452
     :
                -0.00018725 D0,
     :
                +0.000000231 D0 /
     :
*
   Periodics
       DATA ((XYPER(I,J),I=1,5),J=1,NPER) /
     ÷
     :
         256.75D0,
                      -819.940624D0,
                                      75004.344875D0,
     5
                     81491.287984D0,
                                        1558.515853D0,
                                         624.033993D0,
         708.15D0,
                     -8444.676815D0,
     3
                       787.163481D0,
                                        7774.939698D0,
     5
         274.20D0,
                      2600.009459D0,
                                        1251.136893D0,
     :
                      1251.296102D0, -2219.534038D0,
     3
     :
         241.45D0,
                      2755.175630D0,
                                       -1102.212834D0,
     :
                     -1257.950837D0.
                                       -2523.969396D0.
        2309.00D0,
                      -167.659835D0,
                                       -2660.664980D0,
     :
                     -2966.799730D0,
                                         247.850422D0,
     :
     :
         492.20D0,
                       871.855056D0,
                                         699.291817D0,
     2
                       639.744522D0,
                                        -846.485643D0,
     2
         396.10D0,
                        44.769698D0,
                                         153.167220D0,
     3
                       131.600209D0,
                                       -1393.124055D0,
     :
         288.90D0.
                      -512.313065D0,
                                       -950.865637D0,
                      -445.040117D0,
                                         368.526116D0,
     :
     :
         231.10D0,
                      -819.415595D0,
                                         499.754645D0,
                       584.522874D0,
                                         749.045012D0.
     :
        1610.00D0,
     :
                      -538.071099D0,
                                        -145.188210D0,
                       -89.756563D0,
                                         444.704518D0,
     :
         620.00D0,
                      -189.793622D0,
                                         558.116553D0,
     5
                       524.429630D0,
                                         235.934465D0,
     :
     5
         157.87D0,
                      -402.922932D0,
                                         -23.923029D0,
                                         374.049623D0,
     :
                       -13.549067D0,
     2
         220.30D0,
                       179.516345D0,
                                        -165.405086D0,
                      -210.157124D0,
                                        -171.330180D0,
                        -9.814756D0,
     :
        1200.00D0,
                                           9.344131D0,
                       -44.919798D0,
                                         -22.899655D0 /
     :
```

- * Centuries since J2000. T = (EPJ-2000D0)/100D0
- * Initialize X and Y accumulators. X = 0D0 Y = 0D0
- * Periodic terms.
 - DO I=1,NPER W = D2PI*T A = W/XYPER(1,I) S = SIN(A) C = COS(A) X = X + C*XYPER(2,I) + S*XYPER(4,I) Y = Y + C*XYPER(3,I) + S*XYPER(5,I)

END DO

```
*
  Polynomial terms.
      W = 1D0
      DO I=1,NPOL
         X = X + XYPOL(I,1)*W
         Y = Y + XYPOL(I,2)*W
         W = W^*T
      END DO
*
   X and Y (direction cosines).
      X = X*AS2R
      Y = Y^*AS2R
*
   Form the equator pole vector.
      VEQ(1) = X
      VEQ(2) = Y
      W = X^*X + Y^*Y
      IF (W.LT.1D0) THEN
         VEQ(3) = SQRT(1D0-W)
      ELSE
         VEQ(3) = 0D0
      END IF
      END
```

```
A.3. Precession matrix, mean J2000.0
```

The Fortran subroutine ltp_PMAT generates the 3 × 3 rotation matrix **P**, constructed using Fabri parameterization (i.e. directly from the unit vectors for the ecliptic and equator poles – see Sect. 5.4). As well as calling the two previous subroutines, ltp_PMAT calls subroutines from the IAU SOFA library.¹ The resulting matrix transforms vectors with respect to the mean equator and equinox of epoch 2000.0 into mean place of date.

```
SUBROUTINE ltp_PMAT ( EPJ, RP )
*+
*
*
    ΡΜΑΤ
*
   - - - - -
*
*
   Long-term precession matrix.
*
*
   Given:
*
      EP J
                  d
                            Julian epoch (TT)
*
*
   Returned:
*
                            precession matrix, J2000.0 to date
                  d
      RP
*
*
   The matrix is in the sense
*
*
      P_date = RP \times P_J2000,
\dot{\mathbf{v}}
*
   where P_J2000 is a vector with respect to the J2000.0 mean
*
   equator and equinox and P_date is the same vector with respect to
*
   the equator and equinox of epoch EPJ.
*
*
   Called:
\dot{\mathbf{w}}
       ltp_PEQU
                      equator pole
       ltp_ECL
\sim
                      ecliptic pole
\dot{\mathbf{w}}
       iau_PXP
                      vector product (SOFA)
*
                      normalize vector (SOFA)
       iau_PN
\dot{\mathbf{w}}
*
   Reference: Vondrak et al., A&A (2011), Eq.23
```

¹ See www.iausofa.org.

J. Vondrák et al.: New precession expressions

```
*
*
  Date: 2011 April 30
*
*
  Authors: J.Vondrak, N.Capitaine, P.Wallace
*
*
   _____
     IMPLICIT NONE
     DOUBLE PRECISION EPJ, RP(3,3)
     DOUBLE PRECISION PEQR(3), PECL(3), V(3), W, EQX(3)
*
  Equator pole (bottom row of matrix).
     CALL ltp_PEQU ( EPJ, PEQR )
*
  Ecliptic pole.
     CALL ltp_PECL ( EPJ, PECL )
*
  Equinox (top row of matrix).
     CALL iau_PXP ( PEQR, PECL, V )
     CALL iau_PN ( V, W, EQX )
  Middle row of matrix.
*
     CALL iau_PXP ( PEQR, EQX, V )
*
  The matrix elements.
     RP(1,1) = EQX(1)
     RP(1,2) = EQX(2)
     RP(1,3) = EQX(3)
     RP(2,1) = V(1)
     RP(2,2) = V(2)
     RP(2,3) = V(3)
     RP(3,1) = PEQR(1)
     RP(3,2) = PEQR(2)
     RP(3,3) = PEQR(3)
```

END

A.4. Precession matrix, GCRS

The Fortran subroutine ltp_PBMAT generates the 3 × 3 rotation matrix $\mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{B}$, where **B** is the "frame bias matrix" that expresses the relative orientation of the GCRS and mean J2000.0 reference systems. A simple first-order implementation of the frame bias is used, the departure from rigor being well under 1 μ as.

```
SUBROUTINE ltp_PBMAT ( EPJ, RPB )
*+
*
*
   РВМАТ
*
   _ _ _ _ _ _
*
*
   Long-term precession matrix, including GCRS frame bias.
*
*
   Given:
*
      EPJ
                d
                         Julian epoch (TT)
*
*
   Returned:
*
      RPB
                d
                         precession-bias matrix, J2000.0 to date
*
*
   The matrix is in the sense
*
*
      P_date = RPB \times P_J2000,
*
*
   where P_J2000 is a vector in the Geocentric Celestial Reference
*
   System, and P_date is the vector with respect to the Celestial
```

A&A 534, A22 (2011)

```
*
  Intermediate Reference System at that date but with nutation
*
  neglected.
\dot{\mathbf{w}}
*
  A first order bias formulation is used, of sub-microarcsecond
*
  accuracy compared with a full 3D rotation.
*
*
  Called:
*
     ltp_PMAT
                  precession matrix
*
*
  Reference: Vondrak et al., A&A (2011), Section A.4.
*
*
  Date: 2011 April 30
*
*
  Authors: J.Vondrak, N.Capitaine, P.Wallace
*
*_
  _____
     IMPLICIT NONE
     DOUBLE PRECISION EPJ, RPB(3,3)
  Arcseconds to radians
     DOUBLE PRECISION AS2R
     PARAMETER ( AS2R = 4.848136811095359935899141D-6 )
  Frame bias (IERS Conventions 2010, Eqs. 5.21 and 5.33)
     DOUBLE PRECISION DX, DE, DR
     PARAMETER ( DX = -0.016617D0 * AS2R,
                 DE = -0.0068192D0 * AS2R,
    :
                 DR = -0.0146D0 * AS2R )
    :
     DOUBLE PRECISION RP(3,3)
  Precession matrix.
     CALL ltp_PMAT ( EPJ, RP )
  Apply the bias.
     RPB(1,1) =
                  RP(1,1)
                           - RP(1,2)*DR + RP(1,3)*DX
     RPB(1,2) =
                  RP(1,1)*DR + RP(1,2) + RP(1,3)*DE
     RPB(1,3) = - RP(1,1)*DX - RP(1,2)*DE + RP(1,3)
                  RP(2,1) - RP(2,2)*DR + RP(2,3)*DX
     RPB(2,1) =
     RPB(2,2) =
                  RP(2,1)*DR + RP(2,2)
                                        + RP(2,3)*DE
     RPB(2,3) = - RP(2,1)*DX - RP(2,2)*DE + RP(2,3)
                           - RP(3,2)*DR + RP(3,3)*DX
     RPB(3,1) =
                  RP(3,1)
     RPB(3,2) = RP(3,1)*DR + RP(3,2) + RP(3,3)*DE
     RPB(3,3) = - RP(3,1)*DX - RP(3,2)*DE + RP(3,3)
     END
```

A.5. Test case

To assist in checking for correct use of the above subroutines, we present below the results of calling each of them for the following test date: -1374 (i.e. 1375 BCE) May 3 (Gregorian calendar) at 13:52:19.2 TT. The equivalent Julian date and Julian epoch are 1219339.078000 (TT) and -1373.5959534565 (TT) respectively.

Calling ltp_PECL gives the following ecliptic pole (with respect to the J2000.0 mean equator and equinox):

 $\mathbf{p}_{ecl} = (+0.00041724785764001342 - 0.40495491104576162693 + 0.91433656053126552350)$ (A.1)

Calling ltp_PEQU gives the following equator pole (with respect to the J2000.0 mean equator and equinox):

```
\mathbf{p}_{equ} = (-0.29437643797369031532 - 0.11719098023370257855 + 0.94847708824082091796) (A.2)
```

Calling ltp_PMAT gives the following precession matrix (mean equator and equinox of J2000.0 to mean equator and equinox of date):

$$\mathbf{R}_{p} = \begin{pmatrix} +0.68473390570729557360 + 0.66647794042757610444 + 0.29486714516583357655 \\ -0.66669482609418419936 + 0.73625636097440967969 - 0.11595076448202158534 \\ -0.29437643797369031532 - 0.11719098023370257855 + 0.94847708824082091796 \end{pmatrix}$$
(A.3)

Calling ltp_PECL gives the following bias + precession matrix (Geocentric Celestial Reference System to a precession-only variant of the Celestial Intermediate Reference System of date):

$$\mathbf{R}_{p\times b} = \begin{pmatrix} +0.68473392912753224372 + 0.66647788221176470103 + 0.29486722236305384992 \\ -0.66669476463873305255 + 0.73625641199831485100 - 0.11595079385100924091 \\ -0.29437652267952261218 - 0.11719099075396051880 + 0.94847706065103424635 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A.4)

The above computations were performed using quadruple precision (REAL*128) so that all the reported decimals (except perhaps for the least significant digit in rare critical cases) are correct. Note that on typical computers ordinary double precision has only 15–16 decimal places of precision, and this must be taken into account when comparing results.



New precession expressions, valid for long time intervals (*Corrigendum*)

J. Vondrák¹, N. Capitaine², and P. Wallace³

- ¹ Astronomical Institute, Acad. Sci. Czech Rep., Boční II, 141 31 Prague 4, Czech Republic e-mail: vondrak@ig.cas.cz
- ² SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, UPMC, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, 75014 Paris, France e-mail: n.capitaine@obspm.fr
- ³ STFC/Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Oxford, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK e-mail: patrick.wallace@stfc.ac.uk

A&A 534, A22 (2011), DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361/201117274

Key words. astrometry – ephemerides – reference systems – errata, addenda

There is a typographical error in Table 1; coefficient C_7 Appendix A.1. This error for Q_A should read 198.296701 instead of 198.296071. The same error is present in the Fortran subroutine ltp_PECL in this error to our attention.

Appendix A.1. This error does not affect any other expression provided in the paper. We thank Jean-Marc Baillard for bringing this error to our attention.